

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOČKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,004	05/23/2001	Reiner Johannes C. Vermin	209684	1197	
23460 7	7590 03/13/2003				
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			WONG, LESLIE A		
CHICAGO, IL	60601-6780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			LI	THE ENTY OWNER.	
(1761	1197 MINER LESLIE A PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003		

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		A	5-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/787,004	VERMIN ET AL.	
navicely near	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie Wong	1761	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t	with the correspondenc address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of (1) a timely filed amend	this application. A proper reply to a Iment which places the application in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a)	or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three manufactures of the calculated from:	han SIX MONTHS from the m S FILED WITHIN TWO MON ate on which the petition unde nsion and the corresponding a ed statutory period for reply orig	ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP r 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	١
arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I		normodal of the appeal.	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		r search (see NOTF below):	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	• .	eal by materially reducing or simplifying th	ne
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submi	tted in a separate, timely filed amendmen	t
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		been considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly	
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	5 ;		
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
10. Other:		Leslie Wong	
		Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761 3 (12103	<u></u>

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/787,004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to include "such as to have aromas of the ripening strain formed" (in claim 1) and "sterilized" (in claims 6, 12-14, and 20) raise new issues that would require further consideration and search, and raise the issue of new matter.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the reasons of record (Paper Nos. 7 and 9).